EXHIBIT

```
Page 1
 1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                          AUSTIN DIVISION
 3
       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                Plaintiff,
 5
       v.
                                        Case
                                       No. 1:23-cv-00853-DAE
       GREG ABBOTT, in his
 6
       capacity as GOVERNOR OF
 7
       THE STATE OF TEXAS, and
       THE STATE OF TEXAS,
 8
                Defendants.
 9
10
11
12
                         ORAL DEPOSITION OF
                            MICHAEL BANKS
13
14
                      Wednesday, July 10, 2024
15
                ORAL DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL BANKS, produced as
16
     a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff, United
     States of America, and duly sworn, was taken in the
17
     above-styled and numbered cause on the 10th of
18
     July, 2024, from 9:10 a.m. to 12:43 p.m., before Sharon
     Ross, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State
     of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine, at
19
     the US Attorney's Office for the Western District of
20
     Texas, 903 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 334, Austin,
     Texas 78701, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
     Procedure and/or any provisions stated on the record or
21
     attached hereto.
22
23
     Reported by:
24
     SHARON ROSS, Texas CSR #1961,
     Hawaii CSR #432, RMR, CRR, CRC
25
     Realtime Systems Administrator
     Job No. CS6790218
```

Page 2 1 **APPEARANCES** 2 For Plaintiff, United States of America: 3 Faith Lowry US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 4 Civil Federal Programs Branch 5 1100 L Street Washington, DC 20005 6 202.305.2532 Faith.e.lowry@usdoj.gov 7 - and -8 Landon A. Wade US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 9 United States Attorney's Office 903 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 334 10 78701 Austin, Texas 512.916.5858 11 Landon.wade@usdoj.gov 12 - and -13 Kimere Kimball (via Zoom) US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 14 Environment & Natural Resource Division 150 M Street NE 15 Washington, DC 20001 202.514.2285 16 Kimere.kimball@usdoj.gov 17 For Defendants Greg Abbott, in his capacity as Governor 18 of the State of Texas, and The State of Texas: 19 David Bryant OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS 20 PO Box 122548, Capitol Station 21 Austin, Texas 78711-2548 512.936.2266 David.bryant@oag.texas.gov 22 - and -23 24 25

```
Page 3
                       APPEARANCES (Continued)
1
 2
          Patrick K. Sweeten
          Trevor Ezell
3
          Caleb Gunnels
          OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
          PO Box 12428
4
          Austin, Texas
                         78711
          512.463.1788
5
          Patrick.sweeten@gov.texas.gov
6
          Trevor.ezell@gov.texas.gov
          Caleb.gunnels@gov.texas.gov
7
8
    Also Present:
9
          Armando Salinas, Jr.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2 0
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 | traffic that was coming across.

Because so many more have been funneled downriver closer to the port of entry, it has reduced the amount of law enforcement and military we need in that area.

- Q. Okay. But you say here, "No illegal cross-border activity has happened."
 - A. At the buoys, correct.
 - Q. You mean at the buoys themselves?
- 10 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. You do not mean that there have been no illegal crossings on the bank for 1500 feet on either side of the buoys?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. So when you say this portion of the border there is no illegal cross-border activity, you're really referring to the floating barrier itself?
 - A. The portion of buoys at the floating barrier co -- or the portion of the river that the floating barrier covers.
 - O. Itself?
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. Next you say, "While employed at CBP, Mr. Banks
 is aware of the agency engaging in the process of
 putting the very same buoys in the Rio Grande River.

However, when the administration changed, the buoy contracts were shelved. The decision to shelf the buoys was not an operational, legal, or safety decision but instead a political one."

Without getting into the specifics of what happened -- I'm not asking you -- and I believe you've not been authorized to disclose the substance of those conversations that you were having while you were at CBP, but I want to get into the basis of your knowledge.

So not the specific conversations, but were you personally involved in the process of putting what you describe as the very same buoys in the Rio Grande River?

- A. Was I involved in the delivery of discussions? Yes, not all of them, but at least one specific one.
 - Q. One specific conversation?
 - A. (Witness nods head.)
 - Q. Do you recall other specific conversations?
- A. So one in the delivery process and determining who, when, what, where, and how and then one in the "we will not" conversation.
- Q. Were you personally involved in any way in a procurement process?
 - A. No.

Q. Were you part of CBP's procurement office?

A. No.

- Q. You mention the decision to shelf the buoys.
- 3 | By that, do you mean ultimately CBP did not procure a
- 4 | floating barrier?
- 5 A. So since I wasn't in the procurement
- 6 department, does signing a contract constitute
- 7 | procurement if the shel -- or if the buoys haven't been
- 8 | delivered?
- 9 Q. Let's pause. And, again, not getting into
- 10 | those --
- 11 A. Right.
- Q. I'm just asking what you mean by "shelved."
- 13 A. I mean it was: Cancel the contract. We're not
- 14 | putting anything that's considered infrastructure on the
- 15 border.
- Q. Okay. Were you personally involved in the
- 17 | consideration of that decision?
- 18 A. I'm trying to figure out how to answer the
- 19 question as honestly as possible. Can you repeat it one
- 20 more time?
- 21 Q. Were you personally involved in that decision?
- 22 A. In the decision to?
- Q. The consideration of that decision.
- MR. BRYANT: Objection to form.
- 25 A. In the decision to?

- Q. (BY MS. LOWRY) Whatever the substance is regarding the buoys, were you personally involved in the decision-making or were you just told about the decisions that had been made?
 - A. My opinion was asked and given.

Q. Okay. Looking at your fourth opinion in Exhibit 1, can you please read that into the record?

THE REPORTER: Slowly.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. I'm from Georgia and I'm supposed to talk slow, but I don't.

A. In conditions -- are the conditions in recent years in the Rio Grande area where the buoys are located -- I'm going to read it again. You messed me up when you slowed me down.

"The conditions in recent years in the Rio Grande area where the buoys are located have deteriorated to such an extent that placing the buoys was necessary. Over the last three and a half years, the Eagle Pass area has become not only a major public safety issue but also a humanitarian crisis.

"The Del Rio Sector where the buoys were located saw a 547 percent increase in cross-border related deaths over the last three and a half years.

"Prior to the installation of the buoys, there were thousands of illegal" migrants -- or

"immigrants huddled under a bridge with thousands more held in retention ponds adjacent to the bridge and thousands more still crossing with federal law enforcement doing nothing to stop the flow."

- Q. Does that accurately capture your opinion?
- A. I do believe that -- I was looking at death numbers yesterday, and it is a 447 percent increase, not a 547 percent.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Are there any other changes or caveats you would like to add to this opinion?
 - A. No.

- Q. Besides the data on the number of border-related deaths, what other data did you look at in forming this opinion?
- A. Total number of crossings, communications with the mayor, with the Chief of Police of Eagle Pass, with the fire department, the fire chief.

It's -- it's quite a bit of data. It is and it's ever changing but communicating with local stakeholders, city councilmen, mayors, law enforcement, fire department, communicating with COs of hospitals on the bed space in hospitals and then, of course, CBP data and our own internal data.

Q. When you say the conditions in recent years in the Rio Grande area where the buoys are located have

deteriorated, what do you mean by "the Rio Grande area"?

- A. So specifically the Eagle Pass area -- and I want to be careful because I know there's Eagle Pass north and south and a lot of this is kind of on the seam of these two stations; but I'm referring to Eagle Pass, Rio Grande, and all the small communities in that area.
- Q. When, in your opinion, did the placement of the floating barrier become necessary?
- A. Shortly before it went in. So it went in July. So I think my advice regarding the buoys came up in -- sometime in June.
 - Q. June 2023?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Prior to that you did not consider it necessary?
- A. It -- I don't -- it's not that you don't consider something necessary. It's you're looking at all available options.

And when you're doing things and they're having an impact but not as great of an impact as you need to give the communities the relief that they deserve, you have to start looking at additional -- additional things.

And, again, based on my experience with CBP, I -- these things had never been pla -- actually

- placed by CBP in the river. So we didn't know for a

 fact if they would or would not work, but what we did

 know is that -- what I knew is that many of the experts

 in CBP --
 - Q. Okay. That's -- I think -- are we relying on the conversations you had within CBP while employed there?
 - A. This would be conversations with prior CBP after I re -- after I retired and after they had retired in regards to the buoys.
 - Q. Okay. So after, not while you were employed at CBP?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. And it's speaking with many of them that were maybe involved in the delivery process, that would be a question that --
- Q. Then we're not going to get into it. Thank you.
- The -- in your opinion, what is the threshold where the floating barrier will no longer be necessary?
- A. You know, I don't know that there's a magic number. I think you -- I mean, honestly the magic number would be nobody crosses the border illegally.